



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,098	04/14/2004	William F. Van Ostrand	60246-336;10884	6155
26096	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N	
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			2125	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/824,098	VAN OSTRAND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jayprakash N. Gandhi	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Oc</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the proper acce	election requirement. c. spted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 10/824,098

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 10, 18 and 21 are vague, as all the claims are directed to a method of controlling HVAC system. It is not clear the claimed method is automatic, semi-automatic, computer controlled, or printed method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 8-9 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Baldwin et al. (Cited are in last action).

Baldwin discloses all the claimed elements of a HVAC system, including a controller 20, which detects failed component (sensors, 56/58/60/64) and removes the component without disrupting the operation of the HVAC system. It is to be noted that,

Application/Control Number: 10/824,098 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

in the broad term "inferring" can be conclude, judge or gather

(http://www.webster.com/).

(12) FIG. 4 shows the overall concept of the present invention. Essentially, the controller 20 monitors the validity of selected inputs and configures the mode of operation of the HVAC system 10 in response to changes in status or state of those selected inputs. Typically, the invention would be included in steps 124 and 126 in the general operation of the system controller 20 shown in FIG. 3. Initially at step 136 of FIG. 4, the sensor validity of all sensors used by the system controller 20 in controlling the HVAC system 10 is checked. A sensor is valid if the sensor is providing an input signal, and the input signal is within a predetermined range. If at step 138 all the sensor inputs are determined to be valid, the system controller 20 attempts to upgrade its mode of operation at step 140 to the best available mode of operation. Thus if a sensor had previously failed and in the interim was repaired or came back within range, the system controller 20 would automatically recognize and upgrade the mode of operation without the necessity of manual intervention. On the other hand, if at step 138 an input was determined to be invalid, the system controller 20 at step 142 reconfigures the mode of operation to the best available mode of operation that does not require the failed sensor. Examples of this are described in the following alternative and more specific embodiments.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 8-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-7, 11-17 and 19-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 10 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schulz, Stamp et al., Fried, Riley et al., and Blunn et al. disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N. Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125 Page 4